

The affordability dichotomy: how do we ensure that healthy and sustainable diets are affordable and accessible for all?

Rebecca Tobi RNutr, Senior business and investor engagement manager

September 2023

foodfoundation.org.uk @Food_Foundation **(f)** @FoodFoundationUK **(b)** thefoodfoundation **(e)** @food.foundation

Shifting the UK population towards more sustainable diets is essential for reducing emissions

- In the UK, emissions from the food system account for 19% of our domestic greenhouse gas emissions (closer to 30% when emissions from imports are included) [NFS, 2021]
- Shifting diets has a key part to play. In the UK, meat accounts for the largest proportion of greenhouse gas emissions (GHGEs) associated with diets (32%), with dairy products contributing another 14% [Rippin et al, 2021]
- Huge co-benefits for both people and planet. Eatwell Guide adherence is estimated to result in a 30% reduction in GHGEs and a 7% reduction in mortality risk [Scheelbeek, 2020]

LOW INCOME, LOW EMISSIONS?

A BRIEFING EXPLORING WHETHER REDUCING THE EMISSIONS FOOTPRINT OF UK DIETS IS EQUALLY ACHIEVABLE FOR DIFFERENT INCOME GROUPS





But we need to ensure sustainable diets are accessible and affordable for **everyone**

- Dichotomy: Britons spend c 8% of their total household expenditure on food as a % of total spending on goods and services. This is less than many other countries [Euromonitor, 2018].However, this is an average.
- Currently, eating in line with the EWG (a more healthy & sustainable diet vs. current average) is proportionately much more expensive for lower income groups [Food Foundation, Broken Plate, 2023]
- Modelling studies using UK diet and price data suggest that it is possible for costs to remain within existing food budget constraints were diets to transition towards lower emissions
- However, such studies are often based on averages, despite the changes required for low-income groups being greater than for high-income groups [Reynolds et al, 2019]





Red meat and dairy intake is patterned by socio-economic status

- On average lower income groups eat more red and processed meat than higher income groups. National Diet and Nutrition Survey (NDNS) data shows that the lowest earning households consume 15-7 g/d more red and processed meat (RPM) than the highestearning households
- RPM intake associated not just with income, but also with level of education and occupation
- Analysis of Family Food Survey data shows that the poorest 10% of the population bought 16% more milk products than the wealthiest 10%. In contrast they purchased 46% less cheese than the wealthiest 10%



Maguire, E. R. and Monsivais, P. et al (2015)



Vegetable intake is even more strongly associated with socio-economic status

- Low income families eat at least a portion less per person per day than high income families [Peas Please, 2021]
- Vegetables are a more expensive source of calories than meat and fish, and often require more preparation and cooking time, as well as skill to prepare
- Fruit and vegetables are the most expensive Eatwell Guide food category by a significant margin, costing on average £11.79 per 1,000kcal [Broken Plate, 2023]
- More expensive source of calories compared to protein (likely skewed lower by inclusion of beans) and HFSS foods.



Food Foundation, 2023. The Broken Plate report.





Alternatives to animal foods: pulses

- Consumption of pulses does not appear to notably vary by socio-economic group
- Average household pulse purchases in the UK are low across all socio-economic deciles; individuals purchase an average of just 28g per person per week
- Time, knowledge, and the perceived difficulty of cooking with pulses are the main barriers to increased consumption rather than price [Henn et al., 2022]
- Pulses offer a cheaper protein alternative to meat when there are not additional barriers to cooking

FIGURE 5: AVERAGE PURCHASE OF PULSES PER DECILE PER WEEK PER PERSON



Food Foundation, 2023. Low income, low emissions? Is reducing the emissions footprint of UK diets achievable for different income groups?



foodfoundation.org.uk

Alternatives to animal foods: plantbased alternatives

- In the UK plant-based meat products are currently priced at a premium - approximately 32% higher than their meat alternatives
- Prices expected to decline as the market grows. In the UK, the proportion of people consuming plant-based alternatives doubled between 2008/2011 and 2017/2019 (from 6.7 to 13.1%) [Alae-Carew, et al., 2022]
- However, high income households are more likely to purchase plant-based alternatives than those on a lower income
- Lack of convenient options: Eating Better's sandwich survey showed plant-based sandwich options were the most expensive (£3.25 on average)

FIGURE 7: THE AVERAGE UNIT PRICE OF PLANT BASED VS. ANIMAL BASED PRODUCTS BY FOOD CATEGORY



PLANT-BASED ANIMAL-BASED PLANT BASED PRICE PREMIUM

Source: The Good Food Institute



Alternatives to animal foods: dairy alternatives

- Dairy alternatives come with a significantly smaller environmental footprint than their dairy counterparts and, if fortified, largely match dairy's content of calcium, iodine and vitamin B2 and can be fortified to contain vitamin D
- Like alternative meats, plant-based milk alternatives in the UK are more expensive than dairy milk [Broken Plate, 2022]
- By contrast, dairy milk is just £1.00 per litre on average based on cow's milk sold in 2 pints



Food Foundation, 2023. Low income, low emissions? Is reducing the emissions footprint of UK diets achievable for different income groups?



Additional barriers

- Appliance and fuel poverty. In the UK 1.9 million low-income households are without a cooker and 2.8 million without a freezer [Turn2Us, 2020]
- Limited availability of low emission foods in deprived communities (1 in 4 food outlets are fast food restaurants) [Broken Plate, 2023]
- Limited bandwidth and time. A greater amount of time spent on home food preparation is associated with an increase in vegetable consumption [Monsivais, et al, 2014]
- However, people working in low-paid jobs often have the least amount of protected time, with unpredictable shift patterns

Food Foundation, 2023. From Purse to Plate: what are the implications of the cost of living crisis on health.





Potential solutions

Make low emission foods such as vegetables and pulses more affordable, available and appealing for everyone

- Ensure a strategy for achieving a dietary shift forms part of the government's Net Zero strategy
- Strengthen government procurement rules for schools, hospitals, prisons, and other public spaces where food is served through a review of the Government Buying Standards for Food
- A horticultural strategy in each of the four UK nations
- Mandatory reporting for health & eco metrics

Make sure benefits and the living wage take into account the cost of a healthy and sustainable diet





Potential solutions

Make meat and dairy plant-based alternatives more affordable, available and appealing for everyone, and look to improve the nutrition profile of such alternatives

- Use fiscal incentives to rebalance the cost of the basket, for example extending the removal of VAT from plant-based milk alternatives to plant-based meat alternatives too, tiered VAT rates on low emission food served in the out of home sector
- Offer plant-based alternatives at price parity with animal based food alternatives

Research significant data gaps in the study of UK sustainable diets, including; analysis of the sustainability impacts of diets across all socio-economic groups, the costs of these diets, and assessment of how diets across each socio-economic group will need to shift to meet the UK governments net zero commitments







foodfoundation.org.uk@Food_Foundation
@Food_Foundation
@FoodFoundationUK
@Foodfoundation
@food.foundation